



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/476.419	12/30/1999	EDWARD B EYTCHISON	SONY-50M2430	7826

7590

08/27/2002

WAGNER MURABITO & HALO LLP TWO NORTH MARKET STREET THIRD FLOOR SAN JOSE, CA 95113

EXAMINER BLAIR, DOUGLAS B					

2152 DATE MAILED: 08/27/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 07-01)

PÁ

		•	_		Ó
		Application I	Vo.	Applicant(s)	0
Office Action Summary		09/476,419		EYTCHISON, EDWARD B	3.
		Examiner		Art Unit	
		Douglas B Bla		2152	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication Reply	ion appears on the co	ver sheet with ti	he correspondence address	•
A SH THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Faild - Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communica period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) day of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutor are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, reply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TION. 7 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, hation. yys, a reply within the statutory ry period will apply and will exply statute, cause the applicati	nowever, may a reply to minimum of thirty (30 pire SIX (6) MONTHS on to become ABAND	be timely filed  ) days will be considered timely.  from the mailing date of this communical ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	tion.
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on <u>30 December 199</u>	<u>9</u> .		
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)[	This action is no	n-final.		
3) 🗌	Since this application is in condition for closed in accordance with the practice				s is
•	ion of Claims				
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-23</u> is/are pending in the app				
-: -	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are w	vithdrawn from consid	ieration.		
5)∐	Claim(s) is/are allowed.	<u>.</u>		•	
Ī	Claim(s) <u>1-23</u> is/are rejected.				
•	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	and/or classica requ	iromont		
,	Claim(s) are subject to restriction ion Papers	i and/or election requ	mement.		
• •	The specification is objected to by the Ex	xaminer.			
-	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)[		ected to by the	Examiner.	
٠٠,	Applicant may not request that any objection				
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on			pproved by the Examiner.	
	If approved, corrected drawings are require	ed in reply to this Office	action.		
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by	the Examiner.			~· D •
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				.*
13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for	foreign priority under	r <b>35 U.S.C.</b> § 11	19(a)-(d) or (f).	
a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:				
	1. Certified copies of the priority doc	cuments have been re	eceived.		
	2. Certified copies of the priority doc	cuments have been re	eceived in Appli	ication No	
* 9	3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the Internation from the attached detailed Office action for	onal Bureau (PCT Ru	le 17.2(a)).		
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for d		-		ation).
8	a)  The translation of the foreign languate Acknowledgment is made of a claim for continuous contin	age provisional applic	cation has been	received.	ŕ
Attachmen	-				
1) Notice 2) Notice	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO- mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper	948) 5)		mary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) mal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 09/476,419

Art Unit: 2152

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) do not apply to the examination of this application as the application being examined was not (1) filed on or after November 29, 2000, or (2) voluntarily published under 35 U.S.C. 122(b). Therefore, this application is examined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

- 2. Claims 1-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent Number 6,353,848 to Morris.
- 3. As to claim 1, Morris teaches a method of operating a plurality of consumer electronic devices interconnected to form a network (col. 4, lines 34-44, A digital camera is a consumer electronic device.), said method comprising the steps of:
- a) configuring a resource manager of said network with an access policy during network initialization (col. 12, lines 38-51, Each camera provides security and account information when registered.);
  - b) receiving a service request indicating an identity of a user (col. 12, lines 38-51);

Application/Control Number: 09/476,419

Art Unit: 2152

- c) based on said identity, said resource manager determining whether said service request violates said access policy (col. 12, lines 38-51, The executable program acts as a resource manager.);
- d) provided said service request is permissible, said resource manager determining whether resources of said network necessary for carrying out said service request are available (col. 12, lines 52-59, The executable program extends a connection if there is an established connection.); and
- e) provided said resources necessary for carrying out said service request are available, said resource manager transmitting control signals to said network causing said plurality of consumer electronic devices to carry out said service request (col. 12, lines 52-59, The client has remote access via the executable program.).
- 4. As to claim 2, Morris teaches a method as recited in claim 1 further comprising the step of returning a failure message to said user when said service request violates said access policy (col. 12, lines 38-51).
- 5. As to claim 3, Morris teaches a method as recited in claim 1 further comprising the step of maintaining a record of activities of said user (col. 14, lines 12-30).
- 6. As to claim 4, Morris teaches a method as recited in claim 3 wherein said step (c) comprises the step of retrieving said record of activities of said user from a log database provided said access policy is dependent on user activities (col. 14, lines 12-30).
- 7. As to claim 5, Morris teaches a method as described in claim 1 further comprising the steps of: communicating user identification information of said user to said server (col. 12, lines 38-51); authenticating said user identification information (col. 12, lines 38-51); and provided

Art Unit: 2152

said user identification information is unauthenticated, denying said user access to resources of said network (col. 12, lines 38-51).

- 8. As to claim 6, Morris teaches a method as described in claim 5 wherein said resources comprise hard resources and soft resources, and wherein said hard resources comprise said plurality of consumer electronic devices and wherein said soft resources comprise content information accessible by said plurality of consumer electronic devices (col. 12, lines 60-67 and col. 13, lines 1-3, The camera is a hard resource and the images and data are soft resources.)
- 9. As to claim 7, Morris teaches a method as described in claim 6 wherein said step (d) comprises the step of accessing a resource pool, wherein said resource pool contains information regarding availability of said hard resources (col. 14, lines 12-30).
- 10. As to claim 8, Morris teaches a method as recited in claim 1 wherein said access policy is stored in a policy database accessible by said resource manager (col. 12, lines 38-51, The executable program accesses the security and account information. By definition a database is: a usually large collection of data organized especially for rapid search and retrieval (as by a computer), therefore, the access information taught by Morris can be considered a database.).
- 11. As to claims 9-16, they have similar limitations to claims 1-8, respectively, and are thus rejected on the same basis as claims 1-8.
- 12. As to claims 17-23, they have similar limitations to claims 1-7, respectively, and are thus rejected on the same basis as claims 1-7. Morris teaches an embodiment using a home server. (col. 12, lines 52-59, A web server can be considered a home server and the executable program runs in a web server).

Page 5

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Douglas B Blair whose telephone number is 703-305-5267. The examiner can normally be reached on 9am-6:30pm Mon-Thurs, alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mark Rinehart can be reached on (703)305-4815. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703)305-9731 for regular communications and (703)305-9731 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)305-3900.

Douglas Blair August 21, 2002

> LE HIEN LUU DIMARY EXAMINER